

```

In[1]:= ClearAll["Global`*"]

(*Probabilities of selection for the case sR is played and for the case sL*)

pR[sR_] = 1 / 2 + sR;
pL[sL_] = 1 / 2 + sL;

(*We compute the continuation values for strategies (sL, sR, xL, xR)*)

(* We use the following notation for the policies: we consider x_i,
the "concession" of an agent i,
hence L's policy y_L= 0 + x_L and R's policy y_R= 1 - x_R*)

U = Solve[
  wLl == (1 - pL[sL]) * (b - xL + beta * wLl) + pL[sL] * (- (1 - xR) + beta * wLr) &&
  wLr == (1 - pR[sR]) * (b - xL + beta * wLl) + pR[sR] * (- (1 - xR) + beta * wLr) &&
  wRl == (1 - pL[sL]) * (- (1 - xL) + beta * wRl) + pL[sL] * (b - xR + beta * wRr) &&
  wRr == (1 - pR[sR]) * (- (1 - xL) + beta * wRl) + pR[sR] * (b - xR + beta * wRr) &&
  wPl ==
    (1 - pL[sL]) * (- (theta - xL) + beta * wPl) + pL[sL] * (- (1 - xR - theta) + beta * wPr) &&
  wPr == (1 - pR[sR]) * (- (theta - xL) + beta * wPl) +
    pR[sR] * (- (1 - xR - theta) + beta * wPr), {wLl, wLr, wRl, wRr, wPl, wPr}];

(*R's continuation value when R was selected last*)
wRr[beta_, b_, sL_, sR_, xL_, xR_] = FullSimplify[wRr /. U[[1]]];
(*L's continuation value when L was selected last*)
wLl[beta_, b_, sL_, sR_, xL_, xR_] = FullSimplify[wLl /. U[[1]]];
(*Principal's continuation value when L was selected last*)
wPl[beta_, b_, theta_, sL_, sR_, xL_, xR_] = FullSimplify[wPl /. U[[1]]];
(*Principal's continuation value when R was selected last*)
wPr[beta_, b_, theta_, sL_, sR_, xL_, xR_] = FullSimplify[wPr /. U[[1]]];

(**Principal's and R's punishment**)

alphaR[m_, beta_, b_] = FullSimplify[With[{xL = 0},
  With[{xR = 0}, With[{sL = -m}, With[{sR = -m}, wRr[beta, b, sL, sR, xL, xR]]]]]
alphaP[m_, beta_, b_, theta_] = FullSimplify[With[{xL = 0},
  With[{xR = 0}, With[{sL = -m}, With[{sR = -m}, wPl[beta, b, theta, sL, sR, xL, xR]]]]]

(*At the end of the code we show this is the right punishment
for the Principal in the region we are interested in here*)

Out[9]= 
$$\frac{1 - b + 2(1 + b)m}{2(-1 + \beta)}$$


```

```

Out[10]=

$$\frac{1 + m (-2 + 4 \theta)}{2 (-1 + \beta)}$$


In[11]:= (**Agent's enforcement constraints**)

enforcementLmax[m_, beta_, b_, sL_, sR_, xL_, xR_, alphaL_] =
  FullSimplify[xL + beta * (alphaL - wL1) /. U[1]];
enforcementRmax[m_, beta_, b_, sL_, sR_, xL_, xR_] =
  FullSimplify[xR + beta * (alphaR[m, beta, b] - wRr) /. U[1]];

In[13]:= (**R' Actions in the Optimal contract*)
(*Optimal contract -- Solving R's enforcement constraints*)

enforcementRmaxsubstituted[m_, beta_, b_, xL_, xR_] = FullSimplify[
  With[{sR = m}, With[{sL = -m}, enforcementRmax[m, beta, b, sL, sR, xL, xR]]]]
solvexR = FullSimplify[Solve[enforcementRmaxsubstituted[m, beta, b, xL, xR] == 0, xR]];
xRmax[m_, beta_, b_, xL_] = FullSimplify[xR /. solvexR[1]];

Out[13]=

$$\frac{2 (1 + b) \beta m (-2 + \beta + 2 \beta m) + \beta (-1 + 2 m) xL - (-2 + \beta + 2 \beta m) xR}{2 (-1 + \beta) (-1 + 2 \beta m)}$$


Out[14]=

$$\left\{ \left\{ xR \rightarrow 2 (1 + b) \beta m + \frac{\beta (-1 + 2 m) xL}{-2 + \beta + 2 \beta m} \right\} \right\}$$


In[16]:= (**L' Punishment -- P's continuation value at the back-to-business phase**)

Carrot[m_, beta_, b_, theta_, xL_] =
  FullSimplify[With[{xR = xRmax[m, beta, b, xL]}, With[{sR = m},
    With[{sL = -m}, -(theta - xL) + beta * wPl[beta, b, theta, sL, sR, xL, xR]]]]]

Out[16]=

$$\frac{\left( (-2 + \beta + 2 \beta m) (-\beta (-1 + 2 m) (-1 + 2 (1 + b) \beta m) + 2 (-1 + \beta) \theta) - 2 (2 + \beta (-2 + \beta - 4 m + 4 \beta m^2)) xL \right) / (2 (-1 + \beta) (-1 + 2 \beta m) (-2 + \beta + 2 \beta m))}{}$$


```

```
In[17]:= (**L' Punishment -- P's continuation value at punishment phase**)

Stickprevious[m_, beta_, b_, theta_, xL_, xR_, Stick_] = FullSimplify[With[{sR = m},
  (1 - pR[sR]) * Carrot[m, beta, b, theta, xL] + pR[sR] * (- (1 - xR - theta) + beta * Stick)];
sticksolve1 = Solve[Stick == Stickprevious[m, beta, b, theta, xL, xR, Stick], Stick]
Stick[m_, beta_, b_, theta_, xL_, xR_] = FullSimplify[Stick /. sticksolve1[[1]]];
(*Now we can solve for R's concession in the punishment phase of L's Punishment*)

sticksolve2 =
  FullSimplify[Solve[Stick[m, beta, b, theta, xL, xR] == alphaP[m, beta, b, theta], xR]]
xRstick[m_, beta_, b_, theta_, xL_] = FullSimplify[xR /. sticksolve2[[1]]];

Out[17]=

$$\frac{(-1 + 2m)((-2 + \beta + 2\beta m)(\beta(-1 + 2m)(-1 + 2(1 + b)\beta m) - 2(-1 + \beta)\theta) + 2(2 + \beta(-2 + \beta - 4m + 4\beta m^2))xL)}{(4(-1 + \beta)(-1 + 2\beta m)(-2 + \beta + 2\beta m)) + \left(\frac{1}{2} + m\right)(-1 + \beta S + \theta + xR)}$$


Out[18]=

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} \text{Stick} \rightarrow & \frac{1}{1 - \beta \left(\frac{1}{2} + m\right)} \left( -\frac{1}{2} - m + \left(\frac{1}{2} + m\right)\theta + \right. \\ & \left. \left( (-1 + 2m)((-2 + \beta + 2\beta m)(\beta(-1 + 2m)(-1 + 2(1 + b)\beta m) - 2(-1 + \beta)\theta) + 2(2 + \beta(-2 + \beta - 4m + 4\beta m^2))xL) \right) / \right. \\ & \left. (4(-1 + \beta)(-1 + 2\beta m)(-2 + \beta + 2\beta m)) + \left(\frac{1}{2} + m\right)xR \right) \end{aligned} \right\}$$


Out[20]=

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} xR \rightarrow & \left( m(-2 + \beta + 2\beta m)(4 - 8\theta + \right. \\ & \left. \beta(-4 - b\beta(1 - 2m)^2 + 8(-1 + \beta)m - 2(1 + 2m)(-4 + \beta + 2\beta m)\theta) \right) - \\ & (-1 + 2m)(2 + \beta(-2 + \beta - 4m + 4\beta m^2))xL \Big) / \\ & ((-1 + \beta)(1 + 2m)(-1 + 2\beta m)(-2 + \beta + 2\beta m)) \end{aligned} \right\}$$

```

```
In[22]:= (*Once we have obtained R's concessions in the L's punishment phase,
we can obtain L's punishment*)

(*First, we compute L's punishment value at the start of the back-to-business phase*)

LatCarrot[m_, beta_, b_, xL_] =
FullSimplify[With[{xR = xRmax[m, beta, b, xL]}, With[{sR = m}, With[{sL = -m},
b - xL + beta * wLl[beta, b, sL, sR, xL, xR]]]]]

(*Second, we compute L's punishment value at the start of the punishment phase *)

LatStickprevious[m_, beta_, b_, theta_, xL_, LatStick_] =
FullSimplify[With[{sR = m}, With[{xR = xRstick[m, beta, b, theta, xL]},
(1 - pR[sR]) * LatCarrot[m, beta, b, xL] + pR[sR] * (- (1 - xR) + beta * LatStick)]]];

sticksolve3 = FullSimplify[
Solve[LatStick == LatStickprevious[m, beta, b, theta, xL, LatStick], LatStick]]

(*which gives us L's punishment as a function
of L's concessions in the Optimal contract, xL*)

LatStick[m_, beta_, b_, theta_, xL_] = FullSimplify[LatStick /. sticksolve3[[1]]]

Out[22]=

$$\frac{b(-2 + \beta) + \beta - 2(1 + b)\beta m}{2(-1 + \beta)} + \frac{2xL}{-2 + \beta + 2\beta m}$$


Out[24]=

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{LatStick} \rightarrow \\ \frac{-1 + b - 2b m - 2xL + 2m(1 + \beta - 4\theta + 2\beta\theta + \beta m(-2 + 4\theta) + 2xL)}{2(-1 + \beta)(-1 + 2\beta m)} \end{array} \right\}$$


Out[25]=

$$\frac{-1 + b - 2b m - 2xL + 2m(1 + \beta - 4\theta + 2\beta\theta + \beta m(-2 + 4\theta) + 2xL)}{2(-1 + \beta)(-1 + 2\beta m)}$$

```

```
In[26]:= (*Closing the Optimal contract and L's punishment
together -- Finding L's concessions in the Optimal contract, xL*)

enforcementLmaxsubstituted[m_, beta_, b_, theta_, xL_] = FullSimplify[
  With[{alphaL = LatStick[m, beta, b, theta, xL]}, With[{xR = xRmax[m, beta, b, xL]},
    With[{sR = m}, With[{sL = -m}, enforcementLmax[m, beta, b, sL, sR, xL, xR, alphaL]]]]]

xLmaxsolve =
  FullSimplify[Solve[enforcementLmaxsubstituted[m, beta, b, theta, xL] == 0, xL]]
xLmax[m_, beta_, b_, theta_] = FullSimplify[xL /. xLmaxsolve[[1]]];

Out[26]=

$$\frac{\beta m (-2 + \beta + 2 \beta m)^2 (b + 2 \theta) + (-2 + \beta) (4 + \beta (-1 + 4 (-1 + m) m)) xL}{(-1 + \beta) (-1 + 2 \beta m) (-2 + \beta + 2 \beta m)}$$


Out[27]=

$$\left\{ \left\{ xL \rightarrow -\frac{\beta m (-2 + \beta + 2 \beta m)^2 (b + 2 \theta)}{-2 + \beta (4 + \beta (-1 + 4 (-1 + m) m))} \right\} \right\}$$


In[29]:= (**Obtaining L's Punishment and the Optimal Contract -- we substitute xL**)

alphaL[m_, beta_, b_, theta_] =
  FullSimplify[With[{xL = xLmax[m, beta, b, theta]}, LatStick[m, beta, b, theta, xL]]];
xRstickFinal[m_, beta_, b_, theta_] =
  FullSimplify[With[{xL = xLmax[m, beta, b, theta]}, xRstick[m, beta, b, theta, xL]]];
xRmaxFinal[m_, beta_, b_, theta_] =
  FullSimplify[With[{xL = xLmax[m, beta, b, theta]}, xRmax[m, beta, b, xL]]]

Out[30]=

$$\frac{(4 m (-2 + 4 \theta + \beta (4 + b (-1 + 2 m) (-1 + 2 \beta m)) - 6 \theta - 4 m \theta + \beta (-1 + 2 \theta + 4 m (-1 + m + \theta)))) / ((1 + 2 m) (-2 + \beta (4 + \beta (-1 + 4 (-1 + m) m))))}{(2 (1 + b) - \frac{\beta (-1 + 2 m) (-2 + \beta + 2 \beta m) (b + 2 \theta)}{-2 + \beta (4 + \beta (-1 + 4 (-1 + m) m))})}$$


Out[31]=

$$\beta m \left( 2 (1 + b) - \frac{\beta (-1 + 2 m) (-2 + \beta + 2 \beta m) (b + 2 \theta)}{-2 + \beta (4 + \beta (-1 + 4 (-1 + m) m))} \right)$$

```

```
In[32]:= (**REGIONS -- Proof of PROPOSITIONS 4 AND 5**)

(* When are we at the commitment punishment? -- We obtain a threshold in theta *)

FullSimplify[D[xRstickFinal[m, beta, b, theta], theta]]
(*monotone decreasing in theta*)
solvetheta1 = FullSimplify[Solve[xRstickFinal[m, beta, b, theta] == 0, theta]]
thetaOutofCommitmentOptimal[m_, beta_, b_] = FullSimplify[theta /. solvetheta1[[1]]];
(*We now show Proposition 4, the Optimal contract results hold for some theta < 1/2*)

Reduce[thetaOutofCommitmentOptimal[m, beta, b] >= 1/2 && 0 < beta < 1 && 0 < m < 1/2 && b > 0]

Out[32]=

$$\frac{8 (-1 + \beta) m (-2 + \beta + 2 \beta m)}{(1 + 2 m) (-2 + \beta) (4 + \beta (-1 + 4 (-1 + m) m))}$$


Out[33]=

$$\left\{ \left\{ \theta \rightarrow \frac{2 + \beta (-4 - b + \beta + 2 (b + (2 + b) \beta) m - 4 (1 + b) \beta m^2)}{2 (-1 + \beta) (-2 + \beta + 2 \beta m)} \right\} \right\}$$


Out[35]=
False

In[36]:= (*We can instead show our results with
respect to b -- we obtain bBar from Proposition 3*)

solvebBar = FullSimplify[Solve[xRstickFinal[m, beta, b, theta] == 0, b]]
bBar[m_, beta_, theta_] = FullSimplify[b /. solvebBar[[1]]];
(*largest b such that punishment without
concession is possible and commitment=no commitment*)

Out[36]=

$$\left\{ \left\{ b \rightarrow \frac{2 - 4 \theta + \beta (-4 + \beta - 2 \beta \theta + (6 + 4 m) \theta - 4 \beta m (-1 + m + \theta))}{\beta (-1 + 2 m) (-1 + 2 \beta m)} \right\} \right\}$$


In[38]:= (*Sanity Check: The calculated shoudl be the same as inverting thetaHat*)
FullSimplify[
(b /. Solve[thetaOutofCommitmentOptimal[m, beta, b] == theta, b][[1]]) - bBar[m, beta, theta]]

Out[38]=
0
```

```
In[39]:= (*Now we compute \hat{b} from Proposition 5, that is,
the largest b such that P's on-path constraint is redundant*)
solvebHat =
FullSimplify[Solve[xRstickFinal[m, beta, b, theta] == xRmaxFinal[m, beta, b, theta], b]]
bHat[m_, beta_, theta_] = FullSimplify[b /. solvebHat[[1]]];
(*largest b such that P's on-path constraint does not bind*)

Out[39]=

$$\left\{ b \rightarrow \frac{4 + \beta^2 (2 + 8m(1 + m(-1 + \theta)) - 2\theta) + 8\beta(-1 + \theta) - 8\theta}{\beta(4 + \beta(-1 + 4(-2 + m)m))} \right\}$$


In[41]:= (*We want now to compare this threshold with the theta
(overline) at which the contract stops being (DEC,DEC)*)

(*To that end, we compute L's enforcement constraint in the Commitment-
Optimal contract for the case in which L's concession equals theta*)

(*Recall that L's punishment value under commitment
is identical to R's punishment value under non-commitment*)

enforcementLmaxsubstituted[m_, beta_, b_, theta_] =
FullSimplify[With[{alphaL = alphaR[m, beta, b]}, 
With[{xL = theta}, With[{xR = xRmax[m, beta, b, theta]}, With[{sR = m}, 
With[{sL = -m}, enforcementLmax[m, beta, b, sL, sR, xL, xR, alphaL]]]]]]];

solvetheta2 =
FullSimplify[Solve[enforcementLmaxsubstituted[m, beta, b, theta] == 0, theta]];
thetaoverline[m_, beta_, b_] = FullSimplify[theta /. solvetheta2[[1]]];

Out[42]=

$$\left\{ \theta \rightarrow \frac{(1 + b)\beta m (-2 + \beta + 2\beta m)}{-1 + \beta} \right\}$$

```